UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,889	03/31/2004	Ori Gerstel	100101-000300US	9087
37490 7590 08/06/2009 Trellis Intellectual Property Law Group, PC 1900 EMBARCADERO ROAD SUITE 109			EXAMINER	
			ENG, DAVID Y	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2455	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

megan@trellislaw.com jack@trellislaw.com docket@trellislaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/815,889	GERSTEL ET AL.  Art Unit				
interview Gainmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID Y. ENG	2455				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>DAVID Y. ENG</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Joseph L. Aacyaan</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 28 July 2009.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	t)∏ applicant's representative	·]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,21,22 and 24</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <i>The Metro Planner by CISCO and Blouin</i> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discuss the Section 112, 1st paragraph Rejection. No agreement was reached. The Examiner said that no patentable subject matter is seen in the current claims. Applicants said they may redirect the claims to the subject matter disclosed in [23], maximum volumne or in [0035], efficiency of the LoRR. The Examiner said no comment can be made because those subject matters are different than the current claims.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAY'S FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/DAVID Y. ENG/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2455						